

## CRITERIA FOR EVALUATING OF TENDER

1. This Appendix contains the evaluation criteria of the most economically beneficial Tender, their parameters, comparative weights, formulas based on which the economic usefulness of the Tenders will be calculated, and a description of the expert evaluation methodology.

No.	Evaluation criteria and parameters	Comparative weight of the functional parameter
1.	Offer price (A)	X=95
2.	<b>Extended</b> warranty period of Goods (in months) (B)	Y=5

2. Criterion B will be evaluated according to the procedure specified in point 5.

3. The total score of the economic usefulness of the Proposal (S) is calculated by adding the scores of the Proposal price (A) and the delivery term (B):

$$S = A + B$$

4. The scores of the bid price criterion (A) are calculated by dividing the value of the lowest bid price ( $A_{min}$ ) by the ratio of the value ( $A_p$ ) of the assessed Service Provider's price (given when filling out the table in the Bid form) by multiplying the comparative weight (X) of the price criterion:

$$A = \frac{A_{min}}{A_p} \cdot X$$

5. The scores of the proposal criterion (B) are calculated according to Table no. 1 given scoring procedure. Criterion (B) scores are calculated by multiplying the ratio between the evaluated Supplier's points ( $B_p$ ) and the best evaluated (most scored) supplier's offer ( $B_{max}$ ) by the comparative weight (Y) of the evaluated criterion:

$$B = \frac{B_p}{B_{max}} \cdot Y$$

1 Table. Scoring procedure for criterion (B)

Extended warranty period (in months)*	Evaluation (in scores)
17 months and more*	5
13-16 months	4
9-12 months	3
5-8 months	2
1-4 months	1

\*The Supplier shall offer an **extended** warranty period expressed in full months (i.e. whole numbers, such as 2 months, 5 months, etc.). The **extended** warranty period offered must comply with the intervals indicated in Table No. 1. Where no **extended** warranty period is offered by the Supplier, a score of 0 points shall be assigned.

5.1. Where the criterion score ( $B_p$ ) obtained by all Suppliers equals 0, the score (B) for the tender evaluation criterion assigned to each Supplier will be 0.

**6. The offer with the highest economic efficiency score S is recognized as the most economically useful bus.**

7. In the event that the supplier invited to conclude the procurement contract refuses to do so in writing, or is otherwise excluded from the procurement procedure or its tender is rejected in accordance with the law and the procurement documents, the economic advantage scores shall be recalculated and the tender with the highest economic advantage score (S) shall be deemed the most economically advantageous.

8. When carrying out the evaluation of economic advantage, calculations (results), including intermediate mathematical operations, will be rounded to four (4) decimal places.